

ACCREDITATION APRIL 24, 25, 26 2019 (15 weeks from now!)

University of Alaska Southeast

Karen T. Carey

NWCCU: Northwest Commission on Colleges and Universities

- Recognized by the Department of Education as the authority for insuring education effectiveness in the Northwest United States
- Serves Alaska, Idaho, Montana, Nevada,
 Oregon, Utah, Washington and Canada

Why Accreditation?

- To insure Mission fulfillment
- To insure educational quality
- To insure institutional effectiveness

Only through accreditation can an institution be eligible to participate in the Higher Education Act programs – such as Student Federal Financial Aid.



UAS

- Chair of the Evaluation Team:
 - Nathan Lindsay, Vice Provost for Academic Affairs, University of Montana
 - □ Eight other members of the team will be selected soon.

5 Standards that Must be Met

- Mission and Core Themes
- Resources and Capacity
- Planning and Implementation
- 4. Effectiveness and Improvement
- Mission Fulfillment, Adaptation, and Sustainability

STANDARD 1: UAS MISSION



UAS CORE THEMES

- 1. Success
- 2. Teaching and _____
- 3. Community _____
- 4. Research and Creative _____

STANDARD 2: RESOURCES & CAPACITY

Operational Status Authority

Mission & Core Themes Nondiscrimination

Operational Focus Integrity

Governing Board CEO

Administration Faculty

Educational Programs GERs

Library Infrastructure

Academic Freedom Admissions

Public Information Finances

Disclosures Student Achieve.

Inst. Effectiveness Sustainability

Relationship with the Accreditation

Commission



STANDARD 3: PLANNING & IMPLEMENTATION UAS VALUES

1.



2.



3.



4



5.



6



STANDARD 4: EFFECTIVENESS & IMPROVEMENT

Core Theme 1:

Student Success		
Objectives	Indicators	
1.1 Access	1.1.1 Pell grant recipients1.1.2 Student diversity by race1.1.3 Origin at entry	
1.2 Preparation	1.2.1 First-Time freshmen not taking development classes1.2.2 High demand job areas1.2.3 Degree seeking enrollment1.2.4 Alaska performance scholars	
1.3 Success	1.3.1 First time full time freshmen retention rates	

Core Theme 2:

Teaching and Learning		
Objectives	Indicators	
2.1 Quality of Programs and Services	2.1.1. Course ratings2.1.2. Student services ratings2.1.3. UAS Associate's graduates continuing on to UA degree program following year2.1.4 Alumni Survey Satisfaction Ratings	
2.2 Academic Excellence	2.2.1. Successful course completion2.2.2. Honors program students	
2.3 Quality of Faculty and Staff	2.3.1. Tenured faculty with doctorates 2.3.2. Faculty & staff diversity 2.3.3. Employee turnover	
2.4 Effectiveness & Efficiency	2.4.1. Awards earned for each regular FTE instructional faculty	

Core Theme 3:

Community Engagement		
Objectives	Indicators	
3.1 Individual Engagement	3.1.1 Alumni engagement 3.1.2 Course enrollment in internships, practicums, independent studies, and individual research	
3.2 Institutional Engagement	3.2.1 Community partnerships3.2.2 Community engagement3.2.3 High demand job areas3.2.4 Awards earned from distance programs	

Core Theme 4:

Research & Creative Expression		
Objectives	Indicators	
4.1 Engagement	4.1.1 New grant awards4.1.2 New submitted grant proposals4.1.3 Grant funded research expenditures4.1.4 Tripartite faculty publications and creative expressions	
4.2 Learning Impact	4.2.1 Undergraduate research and creative activity awards 4.2.2 Full-time undergraduate students that are research assistants	

STANDARD 5: MISSION FULFILLMENT, ADAPTATION, & SUSTAINABILITY

- 1. Are we fulfilling our mission based on data?
 - □ Through metrics selected
 - □ Program reviews
 - □ Annual assessments
 - □ Audits



2. Adaptability

- 1. Do we regularly evaluate resources, capacity and effectiveness of operations?
- 2. Do we use results of all assessments to make improvements?
- 3. Do we monitor internal and external trends and address such trends accordingly?



3. Sustainability

1. Is the Institution sustainable?

WHERE WE ARE NOW

- The document has gone to Creative Agency team
- Invitation has been to sent to the Board of Regents
- Draft for third party statements has been prepared
- Hotel arrangements have been made



IN 4-8 WEEKS

- Third party input requests will have been made
- Team will have been identified
- The on-site itinerary will have been established
- The document will have been sent to the NWCCU and the team



IN 8-12 WEEKS

- Resource room will be set up
- Meetings will be held for faculty, staff, and students to prepare everyone

IN 12-15 WEEKS

Last minute logistics

Site Visit

- We will transport team members when they arrive to hotel
- Team begin on April 23 at 4 p.m.
- Team will arrive on campus April 24 at 7:30 for breakfast and meeting with Chancellor
- Team will spend 4/24 and 4/25 meeting with people on campus

4/26/19

Team will have reached preliminary conclusions and will share the Team Leader will share information with the Chancellor

April through June, 2019

- Team will complete report and send to Chancellor
- We can respond to any misstatements of fact
- Report will be sent to the 11 Commissioners

June 21, 2019

- Commissioners will meet in June and render a decision
 - □ Reaffirm Accreditation
 - □ Request a special report
 - □ Defer action
 - □ Impose sanctions
 - □ Terminate Accreditation



June 21, 2019

- Chancellor and Provost will attend meeting with NWCCU and Commissioners to be present for decision.
- Results will be shared internally and externally following the release of the findings from the Commissioners.

August, 2019

- Prepare a first year report
- AND
- Reevaluate the Mission and Core Themes for the next 7 years – through 2026.



Questions?